

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,890	12/31/2003	Kevin J. Lee	42P15670	8890	
7590 04/13/2005			EXAMINER		
George Chen		TRAN, MAI HUONG C			
BLAKELY, SO	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR &	& ZAFMAN LLP			
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	2818			
Los Angeles, (CA 90025	DATE MAILED: 04/13/200:	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	(m)			
		10/749,8	90	LEE, KEVIN J.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Mai-Huon		2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 March 2005						
·	•							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disnosit	ion of Claims	·	•	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) a the drawing(s) i rrection is requir	oe held in abeyance red if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE er No(s)/Mail Date	•	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (claims 11-15) drawn to a semiconductor device is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 1-10 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed error in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicant has the right to file a divisional application covering the subject matter of the non-elected claims.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that see the election paper. This is not found persuasive because the fields of search for method' and device claims are NOT coextensive and the determinations of patentability of method and device claims are different, that is process limitations and device limitations are given weight differently in determining the patentablitity of the claimed inventions. Also, the strategies for doing text searching of the device claims and method claims are different. Thus, separate searches are required.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,890 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

Drawings

The drawings are objected to for the following reasons.

Formal drawing for figures 1H-1K is required for clarity.

Specification

The specification is objected to for the following reasons.

On page 6, line 3 of [0022], the specification includes incorrect reference sign '... the substrate 100...'. It should be '...the substrate 102...'. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,777,071 to Cobbley et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,890

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding to claim 11, Cobbley discloses a structure comprising an anisotropic conductive film, the anisotropic conductive film comprising a front surface 5 and a rear surface 1; a first raised contact 7 disposed over the front surface 5, the first raised contact 7 forming part of a first wafer 5; and a second raised contact 3 disposed over the rear surface 1, the second raised contact 3 forming part of a second wafer 1, wherein the second raised contact 3 faces first raised contact 7 (col. 6, lines 57-61, and fig. 3).

Regarding to claim 12, Cobbley discloses the structure wherein the anisotropic conductive film comprises certain particles 19 that are trapped between the first raised contact 7 and the second raised contact 3 (col. 7, lines 27-45, fig. 3).

Regarding to claim 13, the structure wherein the particles 19 that are between the first raised contact 7 and the second raised contact 3 form a continuous and conductive path (col. 7, lines 27-36, fig. 3).

Regarding to claim 14, the structure wherein the anisotropic conductive film further comprises other particles 11 that are not trapped between the first raised contact and the second raised contact (col. 7, lines 27-45, and fig. 3).

Regarding to claim 15, the structure wherein the particles that are not trapped between the first raised contact and the second raised contact do not form a continuous and conductive path (col. 7, lines 27-45, and fig. 3).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (571) 272-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mai-Huong Tran